

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 MONICA FERNANDEZ (CSBN 168216)
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7065
FAX: (415) 436-7234
E-mail: Monica.L.Fernandez@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14
15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-05-00482- JSW
16 Plaintiff,)
17 v.)
18 DARRELL EDWARD SANCHEZ and)
19 JINKY AN MANIULIT,)
20 Defendants.)
21 _____)

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING
TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

22 This matter came on the calendar of the Honorable Jeffrey S. White on February 16,
23 2006. At that time, the parties requested that the matter be continued until March 16, 2006 at
24 2:30 p.m. for trial setting or change of plea.

25 The parties requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from February 16
26 through March 16, 2006 based upon the need for continuity of counsel and effective preparation
27 of counsel. The defendant's counsel, Ira Salzman, is beginning a three-week homicide trial in
28 Los Angeles on February 28, 2006, for which he needs adequate time to prepare and try.

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
No. CR-05-00482- JSW

1 Therefore, the parties are requesting an exclusion of time. The parties agree that the time from
2 February 16 through March February 16, 2006 should be excluded in computing the time within
3 which trial shall commence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

4 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from February 16 through
5 March 16, 2006 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that
6 the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny the defendant continuity of counsel and
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the ends of justice served by
9 granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a
10 speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The
11 Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §
12 3161(h)(8)(A).

13 SO ORDERED.

14

15 DATED: March 3 , 2006

16 
HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17

18 Approved as to form:

19

20 /s/ Ira Salzman

21 IRA SALZMAN, ESQ.
Counsel for Defendant SANCHO

22

23 /s/ Monica Fernandez

24 MONICA FERNANDEZ
Assistant United States Attorney
Counsel for the United States

25

26

27

28